# Statistics of individual tests for market graph identification in market network

#### Petr Koldanov

National Research University Higher School of Economics,
Laboratoty of Algorithms and Technologies for Network Analysis (LATNA)
Nizhny Novgorod, Russia
Joint work with A.P. Koldanov
pkoldanov@hse.ru

Moscow, Russia, April 12, 2019

## Outline

- Introduction
- Statistical procedure
- 3 Experimental results
  - Robustness of significance level
  - Robustness of power function
- Publications

## Network model

One way to analyze a complex system is to consider associated network model.

- Complete weighted graph  $G = (V, E, \gamma)$ .
- Nodes of the network model elements of the system.
- $\bullet$  Weights of edges in the network model are given by some measure  $\gamma$  of connection between elements of the system.

Examples: social networks, market networks, biological network.

## Network structures

Network structures - subgraphs of the network model.

$$G' = (V', E') : V' \subseteq V, E' \subseteq E$$

- Network structures contain useful information on the network model.
- Popular network structures for market network: maximum spanning tree (MST), planar maximally filtered graph (PMFG), market graph (MG), maximum cliques (MC) and maximum independent sets (MIS) of MG.
- Market graph (TG) of network model  $G = (V, E, \gamma)$  subgraph  $G'(\gamma_0) = (V', E') : V' = V; E' \subseteq E, E' = \{(i, j) : \gamma_{i, j} > \gamma_0\}$ , where  $\gamma_0$  given threshold.
- MST of network model  $G=(V,E,\gamma)$  tree (graph without circle)  $G'=(V',E'):V'=V;E'\subset E;|E'|=|V|-1;$  such that  $\sum_{(i,j)\in E'}\gamma_{i,j}$  is maximal.



# History of market network analysis

- Mantegna(1999) MST for market network.
- Pardalos (2003) MG for market network. Maximum cliques and maximum independent sets.
- Now there are around 3000 papers.
- Main purpose network structure construction by numerical algorithms to real market data (stock returns) and interpretation of obtained results. Examples of interpretation.

## Problem description

- Stocks returns are random variables.
- Key problem identify these network structures by observations of complex system elements.
- Problem of network structures identification statistical problem.
- Problem of network structures identification:
  - **1** to choose measure of association between random variables.
  - ② to construct statistical procedure  $\delta(x)$  with appropriate properties to identify network structure from observations.

## Random variable network

Random variable network is a pair  $(X, \gamma)$ :

- $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$ -random vector,
- $\bullet$   $\gamma-$ measure of association.

Example - market network (nodes correspond to the stocks, behaviour of stocks is described by returns)

- Popular network:=Pearson network:  $\gamma_{i,j}^P = \rho_{i,j} = \frac{E(X_i E(X_i))(X_j E(X_j))}{\sigma_i \sigma_j}$
- Alternative network 1:=Sign similarity network:  $\gamma_{i,j}^{Sg} = p^{i,j} = P((X_i E(X_i))(X_j E(X_j) > 0).$
- Alternative network 2:=Kendall network:  $\gamma_{i,j}^{Kd} = 2P(X_i(1) X_i(2)(X_j(1) X_j(2)) > 0) 1$

Any random variable network generate network model. Network model is complete weighted graph  $G = (V, E, \gamma)$ 



### True network structures

Any network structure could be defined by adjacency matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_{12} & \dots & s_{1N} \\ s_{12} & 0 & \dots & s_{2N} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ s_{1N} & s_{2N} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

S—true network structure.

$$s_{ij} = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1, & ext{edge (i,j) is included to the true network structure} \ 0, & ext{otherwise} \end{array} 
ight.$$

## Statistical procedure

In real practice available data for analysis is sample of observations

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1(1) \\ X_2(1) \\ \dots \\ X_N(1) \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} X_1(n) \\ X_2(n) \\ \dots \\ X_N(n) \end{pmatrix}$$

The problem of the market graph identification can be considered as multiple hypotheses testing problem of the following individual hypotheses:

$$h_{ij}: \gamma_{i,j} \leq \gamma_0 \ \ (s_{i,j}=0) \ ext{versus} \ k_{ij}: \gamma_{i,j} > \gamma_0 \ \ (s_{i,j}=1)$$



# Statistical procedure

Any statistical procedure for the market graph identification is therefore based on individual tests  $\varphi_{ij}(x)$  of testing the individual hypotheses  $h_{ij}: \gamma_{i,j} \leq \gamma_0$  versus  $k_{ij}: \gamma_{i,j} > \gamma_0$ .

•  $\delta(x)=d_Q$  - decision, that network structure has adjacency matrix  $Q,\,Q\in\mathcal{G}$  iff  $\Phi(x)=Q$ 

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi_{12}(x) & \dots & \varphi_{1N}(x) \\ \varphi_{12}(x) & 0 & \dots & \varphi_{2N}(x) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \varphi_{1N}(x) & \varphi_{2N}(x) & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

 $\Phi(x)$ —sample network structure.

 $\varphi_{ij}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{edge (i,j) is added to the sample network structure} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 



# Statistical procedure. Pearson network with normal distribution

For Pearson correlation network with normal distribution individual hypotheses have the form:  $h_{i,j}: \gamma_{i,j}^P \leq \gamma_0^P$ . Individual test is:

$$\varphi_{ij}^{PN}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \sqrt{n-1} \left( \frac{r_{i,j} - \gamma_0^P}{\sqrt{1 - r_{i,j}^2}} \right) > c_{i,j}^{PN} \\ \\ 0, & \sqrt{n-1} \left( \frac{r_{i,j} - \gamma_0^P}{\sqrt{1 - r_{i,j}^2}} \right) \le c_{i,j}^{PN} \end{cases}$$

where  $r_{i,j}$  is the sample correlation.  $c_{i,j}^{PN}$  is chosen to make the significance level of the test equal to prescribed value  $\alpha_{i,j}$ . For  $n \to \infty$ 

$$p_{i,j}^{PN} = 1 - \Phi\left(\sqrt{n-1}\left(\frac{r_{i,j} - \gamma_0^P}{\sqrt{1 - r_{i,j}^2}}\right)\right)$$

# Pearson network with elliptical distribution <sup>1</sup>

$$\varphi_{ij}^{P}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{1+\overline{\kappa}}} \left( \frac{r_{i,j} - \gamma_{0}^{P}}{\sqrt{1-r_{i,j}^{2}}} \right) > c_{i,j}^{P} \\ 0, & \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{1+\overline{\kappa}}} \left( \frac{r_{i,j} - \gamma_{0}^{P}}{\sqrt{1-r_{i,j}^{2}}} \right) \le c_{i,j}^{P} \\ \overline{\kappa} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (x(t)-\overline{x})'S^{-1}(x(t)-\overline{x})}{(n-1)N(N+2)}, & S = \sum_{t=1}^{n} (x(t)-\overline{x})'(x(t)-\overline{x}). & \text{For } n \to \infty \end{cases}$$

$$p_{i,j}^P = 1 - \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{1+\overline{\kappa}}} \left(\frac{r_{i,j} - \gamma_0^P}{\sqrt{1 - r_{i,j}^2}}\right)\right)$$

$$f(x) = |\Lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}} g\{(x - \mu)' \Lambda^{-1}(x - \mu)\}$$

where  $\Lambda$  is symmetric positive definite matrix,  $g(x) \geq 0$ , and

 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(y'y) dy_1 \dots dy_N = 1$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup><u>Definition</u>: Class of elliptically contoured distribution is given by density functions:

# Statistical procedure. Sign network

For sign similarity network  $(X, \gamma^{Sg})$  individual hypotheses have the form:  $h_{i,j}: \gamma_{i,j}^{Sg} \leq \gamma_0^{Sg}$ . Define

$$I_{i,j}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & (x_i(t) - \mu_i)(x_j(t) - \mu_j) \ge 0 \\ 0, & (x_i(t) - \mu_i)(x_j(t) - \mu_j) < 0 \end{cases}$$
$$T_{i,j}^{sg} = \sum_{t=1}^{n} I_{i,j}(t)$$

Individual test is: 
$$\varphi_{ij}^{Sg} = \begin{cases} 1, & T_{i,j}^{sg} > c_{i,j}^{Sg} \\ 0, & T_{i,j}^{sg} \leq c_{i,j}^{Sg} \end{cases}$$

$$ho_{i,j}^{\mathcal{S} \mathcal{g}} = 1 - F_{\gamma_0^{\mathcal{S} \mathcal{g}}} \left( T_{i,j}^{\mathcal{S} \mathcal{g}} 
ight)$$

where  $F_{\gamma_0^{Sg}}(x)$  is the distribution function of the binomial distribution  $b(n,\gamma_0^{Sg})$ .  $c_{i,j}^{Sg}$  is chosen to make the significance level of the test equal to  $\alpha_{i,j}$ . In the case of unknown  $\mu$  replace  $\mu_i$  by  $\overline{x_i}$ .

# Statistical procedure. Kendall network

For Kendall network  $(X, \gamma^{Kd})$  individual hypotheses have the form:  $h_{i,j}: \gamma_{i,j}^{Kd} \leq \gamma_0^{Kd}$ . Individual test is:

$$\varphi_{ij}^{Kd} = \begin{cases} 1, & T_{ij}^{Kd} > c_{i,j}^{Kd} \\ 0, & T_{ij}^{Kd} \le c_{i,j}^{Kd} \end{cases}$$

where

$$T_{ij}^{Kd} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{t \neq s} sign((x_i(t) - x_i(s))(x_j(t) - x_j(s)))$$

 $c_{i,j}^{Kd}$  is chosen to make the significance level of the test equal to  $\alpha_{i,j}$ . For  $n\to\infty$  and  $\gamma_{i,j}^{Kd}=0$ 

$$p_{i,j}^{Kd} = 1 - \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{9n(n-1)}{2(2n+5)}}\left(T_{ij}^{Kd} - \gamma_0^{Kd}\right)\right)$$



# Experimental results.<sup>2</sup>

Class of elliptically contoured distribution with fixed matrix  $\Lambda$ . The hypothesis  $\lambda_{i,j} = 0$  is tested.

- Robustness of significance level with respect to g.
- Robustness of power function with respect to g.

The mixture distribution -  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$  takes value from  $N(0, \Lambda)$ with probability  $\epsilon$  and from  $t_3(0,\Lambda)$  with probability  $1 - \epsilon$ .

- $\bullet$   $\epsilon = 1$  normal case.
- $\epsilon = 0$  Student case.

$$f(x) = |\Lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}} g\{(x - \mu)' \Lambda^{-1}(x - \mu)\}$$

where  $\Lambda$  is symmetric positive definite matrix,  $g(x) \geq 0$ , and  $\int_{0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} g(y'y) dy_1 \dots dy_N = 1$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Definition: Class of elliptically contoured distribution is given by density functions:

# Experimental results. Robustness of significance level

- For  $\alpha=0.1$  and  $\lambda_{ij}=0$  test  $\varphi_{ij}^{PN}(x)$  does not robust to deviation from normality. Namely under  $n=50, \epsilon=1$  one has 104 rejection from 1000 experiments. But for decreasing of  $\epsilon$  the number of rejection is increased. For  $\epsilon=0$  one has 255 rejections.
- For  $\alpha=0.1$  and  $\lambda_{ij}=0$  test  $\varphi_{ij}^P(x)$  does not robust to deviation from normality. Namely under  $n=50, \epsilon=1$  one has 108 rejection from 1000 experiments. But for decreasing of  $\epsilon$  the number of rejection is increased. For  $\epsilon=0$  one has 177 rejections.

Then corrected Pearson test does not valid  $\alpha-$ level test under deviation from normality.

# Experimental results. Robustness of significance level

- For  $\alpha=0.05$  and  $\lambda_{ij}=0$  test  $\varphi_{ij}^{Kd}(x)$  does not robust to deviation from normality. Namely under  $n=50, \epsilon=1$  one has 52 rejection from 1000 experiments. But for decreasing of  $\epsilon$  the number of rejection is increased. For  $\epsilon=0$  one has 94 rejections.
- For all  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda_{ij}=0$  test  $\varphi_{ij}^{Sg}(x)$  is robust to deviation from normality. <sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Kalyagin V. A., Koldanov A. P., Petr A. Koldanov. Robust identification in random variables networks // Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference. 2017. Vol. 181, P. 30-40.

## Experimental results. Robustness of power function

For

$$\alpha = 0.05, n = 100, \epsilon = 1, \lambda_{ii} = 0.3$$

power function of test  $\varphi_{ii}^{PN}(x)$  is 0.927 ( $\hat{\alpha}=0.046$ ). But for

$$\alpha = 0.05, n = 100, \epsilon = 0, \lambda_{ii} = 0.3$$

power function of test  $\varphi_{ij}^{PN}(x)$  is 0.771 ( $\hat{\alpha} = 0.21$ ).

For

$$\alpha = 0.05, n = 100, \epsilon = 1, \lambda_{ij} = 0.3$$

power function of test  $\varphi_{ij}^P(x)$  is 0.933 ( $\hat{\alpha}=0.046$ ). But for  $\alpha=0.05$ , n=100,  $\epsilon=0$  and  $\lambda_{ij}=0.3$  power function of test  $\varphi_{ij}^P(x)$  is 0.611 ( $\hat{\alpha}=0.111$ ).



## Experimental results. Robustness of power function

For

$$\alpha = 0.1, n = 25, \epsilon = 1, \lambda_{ii} = 0.45$$

power function of test  $\varphi_{ij}^{Kd}(x)$  is 0.828 ( $\hat{\alpha}=0.103$ ). But for

$$\alpha = 0.1, n = 25, \epsilon = 0, \lambda_{ij} = 0.45$$

power function of test  $\varphi_{ij}^{Kd}(x)$  is 0.780 ( $\hat{\alpha}=0.125$ ).

• Power function of the test  $\varphi_{ij}^{Sg}(x)$  is robust to deviation from normality.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Kalyagin V. A., Koldanov A. P., Petr A. Koldanov. Robust identification in random variables networks // Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference. 2017. Vol. 181, P. 30-40.

# Experimental results. Robustness of significance level and power function for $\alpha=0.5$

- For  $\alpha=0.5$  the probability of first kind error is equal to 0.5 for any  $\epsilon$ .
- For power function the result does not valid. Namely for  $\varphi_{ij}^{PN}(x), \varphi_{ij}^{P}(x)$  power function is 0.94 for  $\epsilon=1, \lambda=0.15, n=100$ . But power function is 0.95 for  $\epsilon=0, \lambda=0.35, n=100$ .
- For  $\alpha=0.5$  significance levels and power functions of the tests  $\varphi_{ij}^{Sg}(x)$  and  $\varphi_{ij}^{Kd}(x)$  are robust to deviation from normality.
- For  $\alpha=0.5$  power function of  $\varphi_{ij}^{Kd}(x)$  is uniformly better than  $\varphi_{ij}^{Sg}(x)$ . Namely for  $\epsilon=0, \lambda=0.3, n=50$  power function of  $\varphi_{ij}^{Kd}(x)$  is 0.97. For  $\varphi_{ij}^{Sg}(x)$  power function is 0.97 for  $\lambda=0.4$  or power function of  $\varphi_{ij}^{Sg}(x)$  is 0.97 for n=100.



# Our publications.

- Kalyagin V. A., Koldanov A. P., Petr A. Koldanov. Robust identification in random variables networks // Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference. 2017. Vol. 181, P. 30-40.
- Kalyagin V. A., Koldanov A. P., Koldanov P., Pardalos P. M. Optimal decision for the market graph identification problem in a sign similarity network // Annals of Operations Research. 2018. P. 1-15
- Koldanov P. Probability of sign coincidence centered with respect to sample mean random variables// Vestnik TvGU. Series: Applied mathematics. 2018. N 4. p. 23-30.

### THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!